

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/702,210 11/05/2003		Yoseph Yaacobi	2101 F US 7110	
26356	7590 12/22/2004		EXAM	INER ·
ALCON RESEARCH, LTD. R&D COUNSEL, Q-148			AZPURU, CARLOS A	
	H FREEWAY TH, TX 76134-2099		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FORT WOR			1615	······································

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary							
			02,210	YAACOBI, YOSEPH			
		Exar		Art Unit			
			s A. Azpuru	1615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (0 period for reply is specified above, the maximum is the to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In munication. 30) days, a reply within the tatutory period will apply y will, by statute, cause the	no event, however, may a report the statutory minimum of thirty and will expire SIX (6) MONTI to application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on .					
,	,	2b)⊠ This action	ı is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
						Dispositi	on of Claims
·		annlication	-				
 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 5-10 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4,12 and 13 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicati	ion Papers		•				
9)[The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	to by the Examine	er. Note the attached	Office Action of form P10-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			•			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
3) 🛛 Infon	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date			/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -			

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of the information disclosure statement filed 02/05/2004 and 04/26/2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "said openings" in claim 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 appears to refer to one opening only, and does not suggest that more are possible. Therefore, the reference in claim 3 to "said openings" in claim 1 lacks antecedent basis.

It appears that applicant is suggesting a further embodiment. Therefore, it is suggested that this claim be written in independent form in order to correct the improper antecedent basis.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1615

The claim is indefinite in that it is the disposition of the "body" within the claimed device is not particularly pointed out. Claim 1 does not set out a further body, so that it does not set out the relation of this body to the rest of the device. Clarification is requested.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-3 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-3 of copending Application No. 10/706,105 (US'105). This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: US'105 sets out an

Application/Control Number: 10/702,210

Art Unit: 1615

ophthalmic drug delivery device having a first and second end, an injection port, as well as a "generally ring-shaped three dimensional geometry" for implantation on the outer surface of the sclera (see claim 1). The exterior (posterior) end comprises a sharp surface for piercing the outer surface of the sclera (see claim 3). If one end has to pierce the sclera, the other end would need to have an orbital surface. The terminal end of the fluid passageway (fluidly coupled) has openings for communicating with the outer surface of the sclera. Those of ordinary skill would have therefore expected similar therapeutic results form the use of the instantly claimed drug delivery device given the claims of US'105. There are no unusual and/or unexpected results which would rebut prima facie obviousness. The instant ophthalmic drug delivery device would have been obvious given the claims of US'105.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

WO 02/100318 is cited as a patent of interest in its disclosure of a very similar ophthlamic drug delivery vehicle comprising a scleral surface, injection port, and a fluid passageway. However, it is noted that neither the publication date, nor the priority date of the parent document meet the instant priority date.

Claims 4, 12 and 13 are objected to as dependent upon a rejected base claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/702,210

Art Unit: 1615

Page 5

Claims 5-10 are in condition for allowance.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos A. Azpuru whose telephone number is (571) 272-0588. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Fri, 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ca

CARLOS A. AZPUBU PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 1500